

SCRUTINY COMMENTS ON THE MINING PLAN DOCUMENT SUBMITTED UNDER RULE 17(3) OF MCR,2016 FOR PALKUR LIMESTONE MINE(SY.NO.55/2C of palkur village,1/28C & 1/28D of CHERUVUPALLI VILLAGE,BANGANAPALLI MANDAL,KURNOOL DISTRICT,ANDHRA PRADESH OVER AN EXTENT OF 3.255 HA

1. Under para 1.0 it is mentioned that the period of lease is 50 years but a letter to that effect issued by State Government under Section 8 A(3) to be enclosed. Else the period may be restricted to the earlier date as per G.O. issued and submission of rule to be changed to 17(2) of MCR 2016 for review of Mining Plan.
2. Further, under Para 1.0 it was mentioned that modification in the mining plan was submitted as there is change in the lease area due to alignment of GNSS canal passing in the leased area in North-South direction. However, it is observed from the office records that an order was issued by the Department of Mines & Geology, Govt. of Andhra Pradesh dated 06.01.2016 and 22.06.2016 pertaining to the termination of lease. It was also noticed from the office records that a case has been filed in the High Court under the judicature at Hyderabad and an interim stay order has been obtained on the order issued by State Government. All the relevant documents may be enclosed as annexure. The same to be discussed at relevant chapters.
3. In para 3.3. it was mentioned that 10 boreholes have been made during May 2015 but analysis reports by NABL accredited lab are not enclosed. The same should be enclosed.
4. Under Para 4.0 stacking of sub-grade ore was mentioned but quantity of such sub-grade material was not given. Quantity of sub-grade material stacked to be mentioned.
5. Financial Assurance as calculated under para 8.6 to be submitted to the Regional Controller of Mines, Indian Bureau of Mines, Hyderabad in commensurate with the proposed plan period.
6. G1 scale of exploration to be marked on Geological plan & sections along with signature of Surveyor.
7. The section A-A' not matching with the Geological plan, this needs to be rectified.
8. In para 8.3.5 under table protective measures, area proposed to be reclaimed is not mentioned. This needs to be incorporated.

